Comment Set D.77: Regina Alvarez



Scoping Comments

Proposed Antelope-Pardee 500-kV Transmission Project

Date: 9-18-06	a recentive m
Name*: Regina Alvarez	DECEIVED SEP 1 9 2006
Affiliation (if any):* local Leona Valley resident	— BY:
Address:* 8333 Calva St.	
City, State, Zip Code:* Leona Valley, CA 93551	
Telephone Number:* (661) 733-5914 (cell)	
Email:* rma 39@ nau.edu	
I have lived in Leona Valley since 1991. It was	caaceat place to growup
and is a great place to live now. This is why I am concer	ned about the Alternative 5
project to run high tension Transmission lines through	Leona Valley.
I am concerned with the potential damage to wat	ter sources due to
esosion caused by construction. I am also concerned +	that the lines will interfere
with emergency preparedness, ie. water dropping helic	copters would not be able
to reach some areas in Leona Valley due to the posit	siening of the towers.
My family was here during both Bouget Canyon fires	. All the homes in L.V.
were saved by these helicopters and the efforts of the fir	efighters! If they cannot
do their job, are the the residents we just doome	ed because we have the
misfortune to live here?	
I am also concerned about the increased fire has	sphazard due to the
location of the transmission lines along the San	
We are all taking a risk living so close to the fault,	
cisk to put highly dangerous power transmission	lines right where
Please print. Your name, address, and comments become public information and may be re	(Con't Page 2)

Please either deposit this sheet at the sign-in table before you leave today, or fold, stamp, and mail. Insert additional sheets if needed. Comments must be postmarked by September 18, 2006. Comments may also be faxed to the project hotline at (661) 215-5152 or emailed to antelope-pardee@aspeneg.com.



CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION Scoping Comments

Proposed Antelope-Pardee 500-kV Transmission Project

Date: 9-18-06
Name*: Regina Alvarez
Affiliation (if any):* local resident
Address:* 8333 Calva St. LEDAA Valley, CA 93551
City, State, Zip Code: * Leona Valley, CA 93551
Telephone Number:* (66) 733 - 5914
Email:* rma39@nay.edu
for (Page 2 con't) they will most likely be damaged in the event of an earthquake.
Iam also concerned with the health risks involved with the
electromagnetic fields emitted by these timelines. They have been
linked to childhood leukemia and spontaneous abortions. The
EMF's are also known to trap radon with which will cause concer in people.
living within a mile of these lines. This is a grave concern. To One can say
"Well, these people commove." However, this project will devalue these people's property
by thousands of dollars. How are they supposed to get a fair price for their land
if it is morth almost nothing? That is not just
Iam also concerned that this project will threaten local wildlife and destroy
The necessary balance that exists here between humans and wild lite in L.V.
Lastly, I am concerned with the increased cost of completing the Alternative Sproject
It it is the longest and impacts the most private property, how is this the best use of my
tax dollars and for that of investors? Therefore, Please take these health, ecological, safety+
*Please print Your name, address, and comments become public information and may be released to interested parties if requested

Please either deposit this sheet at the sign-in table before you leave today, or fold, stamp, and mail. Insert additional sheets if needed. Comments must be postmarked by September 18, 2006. Comments may also be faxed to the project hotline at (661) 215-5152 or emailed to antelope-pardee@aspeneg.com.

D.77-3

D.77-4

Response to Comment Set D.77: Regina Alvarez

- D.77-1 The proposed Project and each of the alternative routes would result in impacts to hydrology and water quality (Section C.8), which are discussed in the Draft EIR/EIS. We recognize that Alternative 5 would constrain the ability to aggressively fight a wildland fire in the vicinity of the route, and would create additional fire risks to inhabited areas such as Leona Valley and Agua Dulce (see discussion in Section D.5). Your concerns will be shared with the decision-makers who are reviewing the Project and alternatives at the USDA Forest Service and the CPUC.
- D.77-2 A discussion of the geologic, seismic, and paleontologic impacts of Alternative 5 can be found in Section C.5.10.2 of the Draft EIR/EIS. Mitigation Measure G-4 (Minimize Project Structures within Active Fault Zone) has been identified to reduce impacts associated with overhead active fault crossings to less-than-significant levels.
- D.77-3 Please see General Response GR-3 regarding EMF health concerns, General Response GR-1 regarding potential effects on property values, and General Response GR-2 regarding property acquisition.
- D.77-4 Although project cost is not discussed in the Draft EIR/EIS, we agree that due to the increased length of Alternative 5, it would cost substantially more than the proposed Project. Your comments will be shared with the decision-makers who are reviewing the Project and alternatives at the USDA Forest Service and the CPUC.